

Ruffin B. Cordell (admitted *Pro Hac Vice* / cordell@fr.com)
Ahmed J. Davis (admitted *Pro Hac Vice* / davis@fr.com)
Cherylyn Esoy Mizzo (admitted *Pro Hac Vice* / mizzo@fr.com)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1425 K Street NW, 11th Floor
Washington, DC 20008
Telephone: 202-783-5070
Facsimile: 202-783-2331

Keeley I. Vega (CA SBN 259928 / kvega@fr.com)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
Redwood City, CA 94063
Telephone: 650-839-5070
Facsimile: 650-839-5071

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
and HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY, a
Delaware Corporation, and HEWLETT-
PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY,
L.P., a Texas Limited Partnership,

Case No. 10-CV-02175 (JW)

JOINT STATUS STATEMENT

Plaintiffs,

V.

MICROJET TECHNOLOGY CO. LTD., a Taiwanese corporation, ASIA PACIFIC MICROSYSTEMS, INC., a Taiwanese Corporation, MIPO TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, a Hong Kong Corporation, MIPO SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., GUANGZHOU, a Chinese Corporation, MEXTEC GROUP INC. d/b/a MIPO AMERICA LTD., a Florida Corporation, SINOTIME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. d/b/a All Colors, a Florida Corporation, and PTC HOLDINGS LIMITED, a Hong Kong Corporation.

Defendants.

1 Pursuant to the Court's October 3, 2011 Order (D.I. 53), Plaintiffs Hewlett Packard
 2 Company and Hewlett Packard Development Company, L.P. ("HP") and Defendants Asia Pacific
 3 Microsystems, Inc. ("APM"), Mipo Technology Limited, and Mipo Science and Technology Co.,
 4 Ltd. submit this Joint Status Statement.

5 1. HP filed its Complaint in this case on May 20, 2010, alleging, *inter alia*, that
 6 Defendants infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 6,234,598; 6,309,053; 6,398,347; 6,412,917; 6,481,817; and
 7 6,402,279.

8 2. HP filed a complaint with the United States International Trade Commission (the
 9 "ITC") on May 25, 2010, alleging that Defendants are in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act
 10 of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, for infringing the same patents at issue in this action. The Commission
 11 instituted the related investigation, titled *In the Matter of CERTAIN INKJET INK CARTRIDGES*
 12 *WITH PRINTHEADS AND COMPONENTS THEREOF*, Inv. No. 337-TA-723, on June 21, 2010.

13 3. The related ITC investigation is ongoing. On September 20, 2010, the
 14 Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") issued an initial determination finding MicroJet Technology
 15 Co., Ltd. in default pursuant to 19 CFR § 210.16(a)(1) for failure to respond to the Complaint and
 16 Notice of Investigation. On October 19, 2010, the Commission issued a notice declining to review
 17 that initial determination.

18 4. On October 28, 2010, the ALJ issued an initial determination terminating the
 19 investigation as to Mipo Science & Technology Co, Ltd. and Mipo Technology Limited on the basis
 20 of a consent order. On November 18, 2010, the Commission issued a notice declining to review
 21 that initial determination.

22 5. On June 10, 2011, the ALJ issued a final initial determination finding a violation of
 23 section 337 by the respondents. Regarding infringement, the ALJ found that APM does not directly
 24 infringe claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,234,598. HP did not accuse APM of directly infringing any
 25 other claims or patents. The ALJ also found that APM does not induce infringement of the asserted
 26 patents. The ALJ, however, found APM liable for contributory infringement. With respect to
 27 invalidity, the ALJ found that the asserted patents were not invalid. On August 11, 2011, the
 28 Commission issued a notice that it would review the final initial determination in part. The Target

1 Date for the Commission's Final Determination, which can be extended *sua sponte*, is October 24,
2 2011.

3 6. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659 and the Court's August 11, 2010 Order (D.I. 48), all
4 proceedings in this case are stayed until final resolution, including any appeals to the Court of
5 Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), of the related ITC investigation.

6
7 Dated: October 11, 2011

8 By: /s/ Keeley I. Vega

9 Ruffin B. Cordell (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
10 Ahmed J. Davis (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
11 Cherylyn Esoy Mizzo (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
12 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
13 1425 K Street NW, 11th Floor
14 Washington, DC 20008
15 Telephone: 202-783-5070
16 Facsimile: 202-783-2331

17
18 Keeley I. Vega (CA SBN 259928)
19 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
20 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
21 Redwood City, CA 94063
22 Telephone: 650-839-5070
23 Facsimile: 650-839-5071

24
25 **Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

26 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY and HEWLETT-
27 PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.

28
29 Dated: October 11, 2011

30 By: /s/ Vinay V. Joshi

31 Jenny W. Chen (CA SBN 205043)
32 CHEN IP LAW GROUP
33 7F, No. 1, Alley 30, Lane 358, Rueiguang Road
34 Neihu District
35 Taipei, Taiwan 114
36 Telephone: +886.2.7721.8855
37 Facsimile: +886.2.7721.8822
38 Email: Jenny.Chen@ChenIPLaw.com

1 Vinay V. Joshi (CA SBN 213487)
2 EASTWIND CONSULTANTS COMPANY
3 LIMITED
4 7F, No. 1, Alley 30, Lane 358, Rueiguang Road
Neihu District
Taipei, Taiwan 114
Telephone: 408-893-1512
Facsimile: 216-696-8731
Email: Vinay.Joshi@EastwindConsult.com
6

7 **Attorneys for Defendant**
8 ASIA PACIFIC MICROSYSTEMS, INC.
9

Dated: October 11, 2011

10 By: /s/ John P. Fry

11 Robert A. Gutkin (SBN119781)
rgutkin@mmlaw.com
12 MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP
1333 H Street, N.W. Suite 820
13 Washington, DC 20005
14 Telephone: (202) 408-5153
Facsimile: (202) 408-5146

15 Tim Tingkang Xia (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
txia@mmlaw.com
16 John P. Fry (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
jfry@mmlaw.com
17 MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP
18 1600 Atlanta Financial Center
19 3343 Peachtree Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30326
20 Telephone: (404) 233-7000
Facsimile: (404) 365-9532
21

22 **Attorneys for Defendants**
23 MIPO TECHNOLOGY LIMITED AND
MIPO SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.
GUANGZHOU
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on October 11, 2011 to all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Civil Local Rule 5.4. Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile and/or overnight delivery.

By: /s/ Keeley I. Vega
Keeley I. Vega

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY and HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.

DECLARATION OF CONSENT

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained for the signatures indicated by a “conformed” signature within this efiled document.

Dated: October 11, 2011

By: /s/ Keeley I. Vega
Keeley I. Vega

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY and HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.